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Abstract

In concert hall acoustics, the reflection characteristics of the ceiling and the walls are important for minimizing the interaural cross correlation. Many design methods have been presented so far in order to design highly diffusing surfaces. This paper presents a two-dimensional digital waveguide mesh having a highly diffusing boundary, and illustrates its reflection properties.

1 Introduction

In an ideal concert hall, the reverberant response should be smooth, dense, and free of overly prominent resonances and reflections. While this is theoretically impossible at all frequencies in a typical concert-hall geometry, the reverberant response can be improved in a variety of ways. In particular, it is desirable that reflected sound waves in the hall scatter as uniformly as possible throughout the audience. That is, rather than having specular reflections, which are analogous to light reflecting from a mirror, we prefer diffuse reflections—more analogous to the scattered light which illuminates the daytime sky.

In the 1970s, Schroeder proposed methods of designing highly diffusing surfaces based on maximum-length sequences and quadratic residue sequences (Schroeder 1975; Schroeder 1979). These so-called quadratic residue diffusers (QRD) have been widely applied to the design of recording studios and concert halls. In the 1960s, Schroeder also initiated the topic of artificial reverberation (Schroeder and Logan 1961; Schroeder 1970), in which digital filter structures (particularly allpass filters) were used to simulate “colorless” reverberation. The use of allpass filters guaranteed an equal reverberant response at all frequencies, while a QRD guarantees an equal reflection strength at some number of frequencies and reflection angles.

In 1993, Van Duyne and Smith introduced an efficient way of modeling wave propagation in a membrane using a 2-D digital waveguide mesh, and showed that it coincided with a standard finite difference approximation scheme for the 2-D wave equation (Van Duyne and Smith 1993b). The mesh has also been applied to the problem of artificial reverberation (Smith 1985; Savioja, Backman, Järvinen, and Takala 1995; Huang, Serafin, and Smith 2000; Laird, Masri, and Canagarajah 1999; Murphy and Howard 2000; Murphy, Newton, and Howard 2001).

In this paper, the 2-D digital waveguide mesh is extended to include a diffusing boundary based on a Schroeder quadratic residue diffuser. First we review quadratic residue diffusers and the 2-D digital waveguide mesh, followed by implementation details and simulation results.

2 The Quadratic Residue Diffuser

Schroeder presented methods of designing concert hall ceilings that could avoid direct reflections into the audience. In 1975, he provided a way of designing highly diffusing surfaces based on binary maximum-length sequences, and showed that these periodic sequences have the property that their harmonic amplitudes are all equal (Schroeder 1975). He later extended his method and proposed surface structures that give excellent sound diffusion over larger bandwidths (Schroeder 1979). This is based on quadratic residue sequences of elementary number theory, investigated by A. M. Legendre and C. F. Gauss. These sequences are defined by

\[ s_n = n^2 \pmod{N}, \]

i.e., \( n^2 \) is taken as the least nonnegative remainder modulo \( N \), and \( N \) is an odd prime number. For \( N = 17 \), the quadratic residue sequence reads as follows (starting with \( n = 0 \)):

\[ s_n = 0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 8, 2, 15, 13, 15, 2, 8, 16, 9, 4, 1; 0, 1, \cdots \]

These sequences have a few properties:

1. they are symmetric [around \( n \equiv 0 \) and \( n \equiv (N-1)/2 \);
2. they are periodic with period \( N \);
3. surprisingly, the discrete Fourier transform \( R_m \) of the exponentiated sequence

\[
r_n = e^{\pm 2\pi i s_n / N}
\]

has constant magnitude

\[
|R_m| = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n e^{-j 2\pi m n} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{N}.
\]

The quadratic residue diffuser, or Schroeder diffuser, is implemented by having periodic wells of different depths proportional to \( s_n \) with period \( N \) over the surface. Figure 1 shows a cross section through the diffusing surface based on the quadratic residue sequence with \( N = 17 \).

![Figure 1: Cross section through a highly diffusing surface based on quadratic residue sequence when \( N = 17 \).](image)

The width of each well \( w \) is determined by the design wavelength \( \lambda_0 (\gg w) \), and the depths of the well \( d_n \) are defined as

\[
d_n = \frac{\lambda_0}{2N} s_n,
\]

where \( s_n \) is the quadratic residue sequence with period \( N \).

Strube did empirical and numerical analyses on scattering characteristics of Schroeder’s diffuser (Strube 1980a; Strube 1980b), and design techniques of concert halls were provided by Ando using Schroeder’s diffuser (Ando 1985).

3 The 2-D Digital Waveguide Mesh

Digital waveguide techniques have been used to develop efficient physical models of musical instruments since the early 1990s (Smith 1987; Smith III 2003; Van Duyne and Smith 1993a; Van Duyne and Smith 1993b). The digital waveguide model can be used to reduce the computational cost of physical models based on numerical integration of the wave equation by three orders of magnitude by simulating the traveling waves with digital delay lines.

### 3.1 The 1-D Digital Waveguide

The one-dimensional wave equation is solved by the sum of two arbitrary traveling waves, and may be implemented in the digital domain with a pair of bi-directional delay lines as shown in Figure 2. This structure is known as the digital waveguide. While each traveling wave propagates independent of the other, the physical wave amplitude at any point may be obtained by summing the two traveling waves.

![Figure 2: The 1-D Digital Waveguide. The upper rail contains right-going waves, and the lower rail contains left-going waves.](image)

We may use alternate wave variables such as velocity waves, acceleration waves, force waves, or some other wave variables. It is convenient to choose force and velocity as wave variables because of their wave impedance relation, which is given by

\[
f^+ = R v^+,
\]

and

\[
f^- = -R v^-,
\]

where ‘+’ denotes the right-going wave, ‘−’ denotes the left-going wave, and \( R \) is the wave impedance. In a string, the wave impedance \( R \) is given by

\[
R = \sqrt{K \epsilon},
\]

where \( K \) is the constant tension on the string and \( \epsilon \) is the mass per unit length.

### 3.2 The Lossless Scattering Junction

Several strings may be coupled together at a single point, or junction, to form a series junction (Smith III 2003; Van Duyne and Smith 1993a) and in a lossless case, it has two physical constraints: 1) the velocities of all the strings at the junction must be equal, i.e.,

\[
v_1 = v_2 = \cdots = v_N,
\]

and 2) the forces exerted by all the strings must sum to zero, i.e.,

\[
f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_N = 0,
\]
where $N$ is the number of strings. Figure 3 shows a schematic representation of waveguides intersecting in a lossless scattering junction in case of $N = 5$.

Figure 3: Lossless scattering junction for $N = 5$ case. The line segments with opposing arrows represent the bi-directional delay lines of the digital waveguide, and $R_i$ denotes their wave impedance. The circumscribed $J$ represents the scattering junction.

3.3 The 2-D Digital Waveguide Mesh

The one-dimensional digital waveguide shown in Figure 4 can be extended into a two-dimensional digital waveguide mesh (Van Duyne and Smith 1993a; Van Duyne and Smith 1993b). The structure of the 2-D digital waveguide mesh can be viewed as a layer of parallel vertical waveguides superimposed on a layer of parallel horizontal waveguides intersecting each other at 4-port scattering junctions as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4: The 2-D digital waveguide mesh.

Combining the two series junction constraints with the wave impedance relations between force and velocity wave variables defined in Equations 5 and 6, and with the wave variable definitions, $v_i = v_i^+ + v_i^-$, and $f_i = f_i^+ + f_i^-$, we can derive the lossless scattering equations for the junctions in which four strings intersect,

$$v_J = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{4} R_i v_i^+}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} R_i},$$

$$v_i^- = v_J - v_i^+;$$

where $v_J$ represents the junction velocity, and the $v_i^+$'s and the $v_i^-$'s are the incoming and the outgoing waves at the junction, respectively. Assuming an isotropic membrane, where $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4$, Equation 10 further simplifies to

$$v_J = \frac{v_1^+ + v_2^+ + v_3^+ + v_4^+}{2}.$$

4 Implementation and Results

4.1 Implementation of Boundary with the Wells

The 2-D digital waveguide mesh can be implemented by having bi-directional unit delay lines between adjacent junctions as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5: The 2-D digital waveguide mesh with bi-directional unit delay lines.

In order to simulate the boundary with the wells of different depths in a 2-D digital waveguide mesh, we need to convert the depths of the wells in Equation 4 to the number of junctions. Since the travel time of the wave in the $n$th well is
given by

\[ t_n = \frac{d_n}{c}, \tag{13} \]

where \( d_n \) is the depth of the \( n \)th well, and \( c \) is the speed of the sound, we can calculate the travel time in samples for the traveling wave by multiplying Equation 12 by the sampling rate, i.e.,

\[ n_n = t_n f_s = \frac{d_n}{c} f_s, \tag{14} \]

where \( n_n \) is the travel time in samples in the \( n \)th well and \( f_s \) is the sampling rate. Substituting \( d_n \) with that in Equation 4 yields

\[ n_n = \frac{\lambda_n}{2N} \frac{f_s}{c} s_{\lambda_n}, \tag{15} \]

where \( s_{\lambda_n} \) is a quadratic residue sequence with period \( N \).

Since the wells are rigidly separated from each other in Schroeder’s diffuser, we need to take this into account when implementing it in a 2-D digital waveguide mesh. This can be accomplished by disconnecting all the horizontal strings between adjacent junctions in the wells as shown in Figure 6. The disconnection of the strings between junctions means the junctions in the wells are no more considered 4-port junctions, and this changes the scattering coefficients. In fact, the junctions in the wells are now pure digital delay lines without any scattering, having reflections only at the end of the wells.

![Figure 6: The 2-D digital waveguide mesh in the vicinity of diffusing boundary. Note there are no horizontal connections between junctions in the wells.](image)

### 4.2 Empirical Analysis

We have modified the algorithm for the rectilinear mesh in such a way that Schroeder’s diffuser is implemented on one of its boundaries, and compared them by visualizing the meshes at different time frames. Figure 7 shows wave propagation on the rectilinear mesh with rigid, flat boundaries which yield specular reflections when given an initial excitation at the center.

The figure shows that specular reflections occur at the boundaries. We can clearly see the symmetry in the wave

![Figure 7: Wave propagation on the mesh with flat surfaces at time frames \( n = 1, 20, 40, 60, 100 \), respectively.](image)
propagation pattern, and the energy is concentrated at some regions in the mesh after some time has passed. On the other hand, the mesh with one of its boundaries being replaced with Schroeder’s diffuser reveals very different reflection characteristics as shown in Figure 8. The wave propagates in the same pattern at the beginning as in the plain mesh, but it starts to diffuse in the third plot as it approaches a boundary with Schroeder’s diffuser. This diffusion from the uneven boundary disturbs the symmetric wave propagation pattern seen in the plain mesh, and in the last plot, we can see the energy is evenly distributed all over the mesh after some time.

The comparison between the plain mesh with specular boundaries and the mesh with a diffusing boundary becomes more obvious if we use an incident plane wave as their initial excitation. Even before looking at the animated results, we may expect that the plain mesh with flat surfaces will show a specular reflection pattern; i.e., the plane wave will reflect with equal angles of incidence and reflection as light is reflected in the mirror. Figure 9 shows this specular reflection of the plane wave when the angle of incidence is $\alpha = 45^\circ$. The plane wave is reflected with the same angle as its angle of incidence, and keeps the same specular reflection pattern, resulting in the propagation pattern similar to diamond shape, whereas the wave propagation pattern shown in Figure 10 is totally different. The plane wave is diffused as it reaches the diffusing surface in the second plot, and it starts to propagate in many directions as shown in the next plot. Finally, in the last plot, we can see the sound energy is evenly distributed on the mesh without any visible concentration on specific regions.

Note that sound examples and Matlab generated movies which clearly visualize wave propagation are available from the WWW URL address: http://www-ccrma.stanford.edu/~kglee/2dmesh_QRD/

5 Conclusions

Schroeder’s diffusers proved to be very successful, and alternate designs as well as its original design have been applied to concert halls to evenly distribute the sound energy to the audience area (Cox and D’Antonio 2003). In this paper, we have implemented a 2-D digital waveguide mesh with Schroeder’s diffuser based on quadratic residue sequences, and have simulated its performance. We have shown that the diffusion occurs at the boundary in a mesh where Schroeder’s diffuser is implemented, the sound energy is evenly dispersed everywhere after a while. On the other hand, a plain mesh shows more specular reflections, and the sound energy is more concentrated in some regions in a specific pattern. The computational efficiency of the 2-D digital waveguide mesh is largely preserved, since computations along the boundary of an $N \times N$ mesh are $O(N)$, while the time-update for the en-

Figure 8: Wave propagation on the mesh with Schroeder’s diffuser when $N = 17$ (diffusing surface at bottom-right side).
Figure 9: Plane wave propagation on the mesh with flat surfaces at time frames $n = 1, 10, 20, 60, 200$, respectively.

Figure 10: Plane wave propagation on the mesh with Schroeder’s diffuser when $N = 17$ (diffusing surface at bottom-right side).
tire mesh is \( O(N^2) \). This highly diffusing 2-D digital waveguide mesh may be extended to implement artificial reverberation, or to model a musical instrument’s body.
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