



## Pitch-based representations, analysis and applications

George Tzanetakis (<u>gtzan@cs.uvic.ca</u>) Associate Professor Canada Research Chair (Tier II) Computer Science Department (also in Music, ECE) University of Victoria, Canada



## Traditional Music Representations





# Pitch content

- > Harmony, melody = pitch concepts
- » Music Theory
  Score = Music
- » Bridge to symbolic MIR
- > Automatic music transcription
- Non-transcriptive arguments





Split the octave to discrete logarithmically spaced intervals

Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis





- > Musical Instrument Digital Interfaces
  - > Hardware interface
  - File Format
- Note events
  - » Duration, discrete pitch, "instrument"
- > Extensions
  - » General MIDI
  - » Notation, OMR, continuous pitch









### Representations



Discrete, high level abstraction,
 explicit structure, no performance info
 MIDI

- Discrete, medium level of abstraction, explicit time but less structure, targeted to keyboard performance
- > Audio
  - Continuous, low level abstraction, timing and structure implicit



#### Psychoacoustics

- > Scientific study of sound perception
- > Frequently limits of perception
  - » Range (20Hz 2000Hz)
  - > Intensity (OdB-120dB)
  - > Masking
  - » Missing fundamental (2xf, 3xf, 4xf) give humans the impression of 1xf pitch





#### Pitch Detection



Time-domain Frequency-domain Perceptual Pitch is a PERCEPTUAL attribute correlated but not equivalent to fundamental frequency

Rhythm -> ~20 Hz Pitch



(courtesy of R.Dannenberg – Nyquist)



## Pitch Perception I

- » Pitch is not just fundamental frequency
- > Periodicity or harmonicity or both ?
- Human judgements (adjust sine method)
- > 1924 Fletcher harmonic partials missing fundamental (pitch is still heard)
  - > Examples: phone, small radio
- > Terhardt (1972), Licklider (1959)
  - > duplex theory of pitch (virtual & spectral pitch)



## Pitch Perception II

- > One perception two overlapping mechanisms
  - » Counting cycles of period < 800Hz</p>
  - » Place of excitation along basilar membrane > 1600 Hz





### Time Domain

#### # zero-crossings sensitive to noise – needs LPF



10

C4 Clarinet Note



C4 Sine Wave



Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



#### AutoCorrelation

$$r_x = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) x(n+l), l=0,1,..L-1$$

F(f) = FFT(X(t))  $S(f) = F(f) F^{*}(f)$ R(l) = IFFT(S(f))





Efficient computation possible for powers of 2 using FFT

11

#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



## Average Magnitude Difference Function

12





No multiplies – more efficient for fixed point

$$AMDF(m) = \sum_{i=q}^{q+N-1} \left| x(i) - x(i+m) \right|^{k}$$

#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



### Frequency Domain

Sine C4

#### Clarinet C4



Fundamental frequency (as well as pitch) will correspond to peaks in the Spectrum. The fundamental does not necessarily have the highest amplitude.



## Multiple Pitch Detection





### Plotting over Time



15



A4, B4, C4



Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



## Polyphonic Transcription

Klapuri et al, DAFX 00





## Perceptual Pitch Scales

- » Perception of frequency
- > Various perceptual scales based on JND
  - $_{\scriptscriptstyle >}$  Typically linear below a break frequency  $F_{\rm b}$  and logarithmic above
- Popular choices for break frequency (1000, 700, 625, 228)
- » What the hell is a mel?



### Mel Mapping



#### Spyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



### Musical Pitch

- Tuning = different ways of subdividing the octave logarithmically (as ratios) into intervals
- Tension between harmonic ratios, modulation to different keys, regularity, pure fifths (ratio of 1.5 or 3:2)
- > Many tuning systems have been explored through history





### Tuning systems

- Just intonation (1:1, 9:8, 5:4, 4:3, 3:2, 5:3, 15:8, 2:1)
- Pythagorean tuning all notes derives from
   3:2 (1:1, 256:243, 9:8,...)
- » Equal temperament

 All notes spaced by logarithmically equal distances (100 cents). Each step is higher by 2<sup>1/12</sup> (1.0594) from previous.



#### Notation

> A, B, C, D, E, F, G

» Number indicate octave

> A4 is 440Hz and MIDI number 69

- » Do, Re, Mi, Fa, Sol, La, Ti
- > MIDI (0-128)
- >  $m = 69 + 12 \log_2(f/440)$



### Pitch Helix



Linear pitch (i.e log(frequency) is wrapped around a cylinder – in order to model the octave equivalence.

Pitch perception has two dimensions: Height: naturally organizes pitches from low to high Chroma: represents the inherent circularity of pitch





### Pitch Histograms

23

 $(7 * c) \mod 12$ Circle of 5s













24



## Calculating Pitch CCR/MA Profiles - Warping

- > Calculate FFT of a signal segment
- Map each FFT bin to Hertz
  - > 512 time domain samples -> 256 FFT bins @
     22050 Hz. Each bin will be 11025/256 ~= 43
     Hz
  - > f = k \* (srate / fft\_size)
- > Map each bin (in Hertz) to MIDI:

>  $m = 69 + 12 \log_2(f/440)$ 



## Pitch Histogram

- > Average amplitudes of bins mapping to the same MIDI note number
- (different averaging shapes can be used)
- > If desired fold the resulting histogram, collapsing bins that belong to the same pitch class into one
- Frequently more than 12 bins per octave to account for tuning/performance variations

26

**@**CR//



#### Chroma Profiles



27

#### Sine C4

0 bin is A and spacing is chromatic

#### Clarinet C4

Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



## Chromagrams

28







Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



## Time Alignment



- > ARTHUR (Foote 2000)
- Two sequences of energy contours corresponding to two performances of the same symphony
- We are given two pitch sequences of the same melody sung by different singers
- > How can we find if they match ?



## Dynamic Time CCR/IL Warping





#### POLYPHONIC AUDIO AND MIDI ALIGNMENT

Symbolic Representation – easy to manipulate Align – "flat" performance

- Audio Representation
- expressive
   performance
- opaque & unstructured









Similarity Matrix for Beethoven' s 5th Symphony, first movement



# Performance matching

Power plot

24d "pitch"

vectors from FFT

Yang, WASPAA 99

Nearest neighbor with Locality-Sensitive Hashing Identical, different copy, different vocals, different performance (80%)

@CR//

Characteristic sequence

Foote, ISMIR 00

Dynamic programmingSymphonies



## Structural Analysis

- > Similarity matrix
- » Representations
  - > Notes
  - > Chords
  - > Chroma
- » Greedy hill-climbing algorithm
  - > Recognize repeated patterns
- > Result = AABA (explanation)

Dannenberg & Hu, ISMIR 2002 Tzanetakis, Dannenberg & Hu, WIAMIS 03







### Similarity Matrices



#### Satin Doll - MIDI

#### Satin Doll – Audio-from-midi





### An example - Naima




# Perception-based approaches

- » Pitch perception
- > Loudness percetion
- » Critical Bands



- » Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients
- » Masking
- » Perceptual Audio Compression (MPEG)



### The Human Ear



Pinna Auditory canal Ear Drum Stapes-Malleus-Incus (gain control) Cochlea (freq. analysis) Auditory Nerve ?



Wave travels to cutoff slowing down increasing in amplitude power is absorbed

Each frequency has a position of maximum displacement

CR//L





### Masking



Two frequencies -> beats -> harsh -> seperate

Inner Hair Cell excitation

Frequency Masking Temporal Masking

Pairs of sine waves (one softer) – how much weaker in order to be masked ? (masking curves) wave of high frequency can not mask a wave of lower frequency

# Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients

CR//L





### Discrete Cosine Transform

- Strong energy compaction
- For certain types of signals approximates KL transform (optimal)
- Low coefficients represent most
  the signal can throw high
- » MFCCs keep first 13-20



» MDCT (overlap-based) used in MP3, AAC, Vorbis audio compression



MPEG Audio

### Feature Extraction



Perceptual Audio Coding (slow encoding, fast decoding)



### Psychoacoustic Model

- » Each band is quantized
- » Quantization introduces noise
- > Adapt the quantization so that it is inaudible
- > Take advantage of masking
  - > Hide quantization noise where it is masked
- MPEG standarizes how the quantized bits are transmitted not the psychoacoustic model - (only recommended)





### HMM segmentation

p(

t

t-1

**P(** 

Aucouturier & Sandler, AES 01

Model









# Locating singing voice segments

Berenzweig & Ellis, WASPAA 99

Multi-layer perceptron 2000 hidden units 54 phone classes



80% accuracy

16 msec p(phone class)



# "Classic" multi-stage approach



Short Time Fourier Transform Discrete basis: windowed sine waves

Partial Tracking (McAuley & Quatieri)

Sound source formation: grouping of partials based on harmonicity

PROBLEMS: Difficult to decide ordering, brittle

Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



# Spectral Clustering

- Alternative to traditional (k-means)
- > Doesn't assume convex shapes
- > Doesn't assume Gaussians
- > Avoid multiple restarts
- > Eigenstructure of

point-based algorthms

CR//L



# Sound Source Separation using Spectral Clustering





# Comparison with partial tracking



49

#### MacAuly and Quatieri Tracking of Partials

#### Proposed Approach

Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis

CR//L



# Synthetic Mixtures of Instruments



Instrumentation detection based on timbral models

Martins, et al, ISMIR07

50

Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



# "Real world" separation results



More examples: <u>http://opihi.cs.uvic.ca/NormCutAudio</u> http://opihi.cs.uvic.ca/Dafx2007



# Playlist generation

Tewfik, ICASSP 99 Pachet, IEEE Multimedia00

(s1,s2,s3, ..., sn) 20% slow songs, 80% fast, female jazz singers

Constraint-satisfaction problem Smooth transitions

Technical attributes (artist, album, name) Content attributes (jazz singer, brass)





# Audio Thumbnailing

» Representative short summary of piece

- » Segmentation-based
- » Repetition-based
- > Hard to evaluate



# Segmentation-based Thumbnailing

- Begin and end times of a 2 second thumbnail that best represents the segment
  - 62% first two seconds of the segment
  - 92% two seconds within the first five seconds of the segment
- > Automatic thumbnailing
  - first 5 sec + best effort about 80% "correct"





# Repetition-based thumbnailing

Logan, B., ICASSP 00 Bartch and Wakefield, WASPAA99



#### Thumbnail = maximum repeated segment Alternatives: Clustering, HMM





# Structure from similarity Foote

Foote et al, ISMIR 02 Dannenberg et al, ICMC 02

Feature vector trajectory Correlation at various time lags

ABAA'





## Query-by-humming

- > User sings a melody
- Computer searches database for song containing the melody
- Probably less useful than it sounds but interesting problem
- > The challenge of difficult queries



### The MUSART system

(symbolic)

- Query preprocessing
  - » Pitch contour extraction (audio)
  - » Note segmentation
- > Target preprocessing (symbolic)
  - > Theme extraction
  - » Model-forming, representation
- > Search to find approximate match
  - » Dynamic Programming, HMMs



### Representations

- » Pitch and tempo invariance
  - » Quantized pitch intervals
  - » Quantized IOI ratio
- Approximate matching
  - > HMM
  - > Dynamic programming
  - > Time Series





# Audio Fingerprinting and Watermarking

- » Watermarking
  - » Copyright protection
    - > Proof of ownership
    - > Usage policies
  - » Metadata hiding
- > Fingerprinting
  - > Tracking
  - > Copyright protection
  - » Metadata linking





### Watermarking

Steganography (hiding information in messages – invisible ink )





### **Desired** Properties

- » Perceptually hidden (inaudible)
- » Statistically invisible
- » Robust against signal processing
- > Tamper resistant
- » Spread in the music, not in header
- > key dependent



# Representations for Watermarking

- Basic Principles
  - » Psychoacoustics
  - » Spread Spectrum
    - > redundant spread of information in TF plane
- Representations
  - > Linear PCM
  - > Compressed bitstreams
  - > Phase, stereo
  - » Parametric representations





#### Watermarking on parametric representations Yi-Wen Liu J. Smith 2004







# Problems with

### watermarking

- The security of the entire system depends on devices available to attackers
  - Breaks Kerckhoff's Criterion: A security system must work even if reverse-engineered
- > Mismatch attacks
  - > Time stretch audio stretch it back (invertible)
- Oracle attacks
  - Poll watermark detector



# Audio Fingerprinting

- Each song is represent as a fingerprint (small robust representation)
- > Search database based on fingerprint
- Main challenges
  - > highly robust fingerprint extraction
  - » efficient fingerprint search strategy
- Information is summarized from the whole song attacks degrade unlike watermarking



@ CR//I



### Hash functions

- > H(X) -> maps large X to small hash value
- > compare by comparing hash value
- » Perceptual hash function ?



- Perceptually similar objects result in similar fingerprints
- > Detection/false alarm tradeoff





### Properties

- » Robustness
- > Reliability
- > Fingerprint size
- > Granularity
- > Search speed and scalability







### Fraunhofer

- » LLD Mpeg-7 framework (SFM)
- > Vector quantization (k-means)
  - » Codebook of representative vectors
- > Database target signature is the codebook
- > Query -> sequence of feature vectors
- Matching by finding "best" codebook
- > Robust not very scalable (O(n) search))

Allamanche Ismir 2001



### Philips Research

Haitsa & Kalker Ismir 2002

- > 32-bit subfingerprints for every 11.6 msec
- overlapping frames of 0.37 seconds (31/32 overlap)
- > PSD -> logarithmic band spacing (bark)
- bits 0-1 sign of energy
- > looks like a fingerprint
- assume one fingerprint perfect hierarchical database layout (works ok)





### Shazam Entertainment

- » Pick landmarks on audio calculate fingerprint
- > histogram of relative time differences for filtering
- » Spectrogram peaks (time, frequency)



### Spectrogram Peaks



Very robust – even over noisy cell phones

72

Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis


# Audio Fingerprinting

moodlogic.net



<u>9:007</u>



University of Victoria

### STEREO PANNING FEATURES FOR CLASSIFYING RECORDING PRODUCTION STYLE

**ISMIR 2007** 

8th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval

23rd - 27th September 2007

Vienna, Austria

George Tzanetakis Randy Jones Kirk McNally

gtzan@cs.uvic.ca



•

### **Motivation**

• The "classic" audio MIR system



- Mixing and production are critical in modern recordings
  - The Producer as a Composer Virgil Moorefield, MIT Press, 2005
  - "Famous" record producers
    - Phil Spector, George Martin, Quincy Jones, Brian Eno



 Can recording production information and more specifically stereo mixing information assist the automatic extraction of information from audio signals ?





•

•

### **Related Work**

### The "album" effect

- Artist identification performance degrades when different albums are used for training & evaluation (Whitman, IEEE NNSP, 2003)
- Compensate mastering equalization curves (Kim, ISMIR 2006)
- "Glass" ceiling of timbral features
  - (Aucouturier, Journal of Negative Results in Speech and Audio 2004)
- Stereo-based source separation
  - For each FFT bin calculate panning coefficient
  - Group together bins that have similar panning coefficients as belonging to the same sound source
  - (Avendano, WASPAA 2003) (Woodruff, ISMIR 2003)





$$\psi(k) = 2 * \frac{|X_l(k)X_r^*(k)|}{|X_l(k)|^2 + |X_r(k)|^2}$$

$$\psi_l = \frac{|X_l(k)X_r^*(k)|}{|X_l(k)|^2}, \psi_r = \frac{|X_r(k)X_l^*(k)|}{|X_r(k)|^2}$$

$$\Delta(k) = \psi_l - \psi_r$$
 (Avendano, WASPAA 2003)

$$\hat{\Delta}(k) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \Delta(k) > 0\\ 0, & \text{if } \Delta(k) = 0\\ -1, & \text{if } \Delta(k) < 0 \end{cases}$$

For every FFT bin a panning coefficient between -1 (left) and +1 (right) with the center at 0

$$SPS(k) = [1 - \psi(k)] * \hat{\Delta}(k)$$





### Example I

Stereo Panning Spectrum





Hell's Bells – ACDC (black left, white right) Important note: Just panning information (invariant to frequency content/dynamics)





### Example II

#### Stereo Panning Spectrum





Supervixen by Garbage (black left, white right)





### Stereo Panning Spectrum Features

$$P_{l,h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{h-l} \sum_{k=l}^{h} [SPS(k)]^2}$$

Panning RMS for subbandsLow(0-250 Hz)Medium(250-2500 Hz)High(2500-22050 Hz)

$$\Phi(t) = [P_{total}(t), P_{low}(t), P_{medium}(t), P_{high}(t)]$$

$$m\Phi(t) = mean[\Phi(t - M + 1), ..., \Phi(t)]$$
  

$$s\Phi(t) = std[\Phi(t - M + 1), ..., \Phi(t)]$$

Texture-window features For dynamics

Final features are the means and standard deviations of the entire audio clip resulting in a  $4^{*}2^{*}2 = 16$  dimensional vector / audio clip





### Experiments

### • Collections

- 1960s "garage" (The Byrds, The Kinks, Buddy Holly)
- 1980s "grunge" (Nirvana, PearlJam, RadioHead)
- Acoustic Jazz (Miles Davis, John Coltrange, Wynton Marsalis)
- Electric Jazz (Return to Forever, Weather Report, Mahavishnu Orchestra)
- Configurations
  - STEREO Stereo Panning Spectrum Features (SPSF)
  - STEREO MFCC (concatenate MFCCs for left and right)
  - STEREO (SPSF+MFCC)





### Results (10-fold cross-validation)

Garage/Grunge	ZeroR	NBC	SMO	J48
SPSF	56.4	77.2	81	84.2
SMFCC	56.4	74.6	76.7	71.6
SPSF+SMFCC	56.4	82.7	83.7	83.2



Acoustic/Electric	ZeroR	NBC	SMO	J48
SPSF	51.3	99.4	99.7	99.1
SMFCC	51.3	71.8	79.4	68.4
SPSF+SMFCC	51.3	98.5	99.1	99.1

Naïve Bayes Classifier Linear SVM trained Using SMO Decision Tree

Gr/Ga/Aj/Ej	ZeroR	NBC	SMO	J48
SPSF	29.8	73.6	81	76.5
SMFCC	29.8	56.4	65.9	52.3
SPSF+SMFCC	29.8	75.2	87.4	79.9





### Some more results

### Mean Average Panning Histograms



Artist20Id	ZeroR	SPSF	SMFCC	SPSF+SMFCC
fold0	6.7	19.4	32.2	31.9
fold1	5.9	16.9	31.05	28.7
fold2	4.6	20	35.1	28.7
fold3	5.2	13.9	37.5	36.6
fold4	5.1	20.4	35.4	36.6
fold5	5.0	12.5	17.6	18.8
avg	5.4	17.1	31.4	32.6

Artist20 Id with album folds (not in paper)





### MIREX 2007 results

- Music Information Retrieval Evaluation Exchange (MIREX)
  - Annual forum for comparing algorithms of different MIR tasks
  - 2007 "classification tasks"
    - · Audio Artist Identification, Classical Composer Identification
    - · Audio Genre Classification, Audio Mood Classification

	artist	genre	composer
SPSF	14.22	41.41	26.19
SMFCC	36.50	61.79	45.13
SPSF/SMFCC	45.16	64.13	49.89
Best MIREX07	48.14	68.29	53.72





Implementation



### http://marsyas.sourceforge.net

bextract -e STEREOSPS /pathto/mirexcollection.txt -w fmatrix.arff bextract -e STEREOMFCC /pathto/mirexcollection.txt -w fmatrix.arff bextract -e STEREOSPSMFCC /pathto/mirexcollection.txt -w fmatrix.arff





- Characterize more generally recording production style
- Audio-based record producer identification
  - Maybe a future MIREX task ?
- Dave Pensado describing one of his mixes (Mix Magazine)
  - "Massive club bottom, hiphop sensibility in the bottom, and this real smoothed-out, classy Quincy Jones types top"
  - Can we move this type of description into audio MIR ?
- Many thanks to:
  - NSERC, SSHRC Canada
  - Carlos Avendano for clearly describing his algorithm
  - Dan Ellis for providing stereo files for the ArtistID 20
  - Perry Cook for suggesting using stereo information a long time ago







- > Transition from oral to written transmission
- Study how diverse recitation traditions having their origin in primarily non-notated melodies, later became codified
- > Hungarian siratok, torah cantillation, koran recitation, 10th century St. Gallen plainchant

**@CR**M



### Pitch Contour Extraction



Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis





# Histogram-based contour abstraction





# Dynamic-Time Warping







(b) F0 Contour of 42 Pashta



(c) F0 Contour of 18 Sof Pasuq



(d) F0 Contour of 11 Pashta Doubled



(a) DTW of 11 Pashta vs 11 Pashta



(b) DTW of 11 Pashta vs 42 Pashta



(c) DTW of 11 Pashta vs 18 Sof Pasuq



(d) DTW of 11 Pashta vs 11 Pashta Doubled



### Cantillion

### http://cantillion.sness.net





### Retrieval

Gesture	Average	Gesture	Average
(Hungary)	Precision	(Morocco)	Precision
	(Hungary)		(Morocco)
$_{ m tipha}$	0.662	katon	0.453
pashta	0.647	$_{\mathrm{mapah}}$	0.347
$_{ m mapah}$	0.641	$_{ m tipha}$	0.303
katon	0.604	sofpasuq	0.285
etnachta	0.601	$_{ m pashta}$	0.242
sofpasuq	0.591	$\mathrm{merha}$	0.251
$\mathrm{merha}$	0.537	etnachta	0.150
revia	0.372	$\mathbf{zakef}$	0.125
$_{\rm zakef}$	0.201	revia	0.091
kadma	0.200	kadma	0.043



### Retrieval at different levels of abstraction





GMM

KNN

LPC

### Marsyas Overview

- > Software framework for audio analysis, synthesis and retrieval
  - » Efficient and extensible framework design
    - » specific emphasis on Music Information Retrieval (MIR)
    - C++, OOP
    - > Multiplatform (Linux, MS Windows®, MacOSX®, ...)
  - Provides a variety of building blocks for performing common audio tasks:
    - soundfile IO, audio IO, signal processing and machine learning modules
    - blocks can be combined into data flow networks that can be modified and controlled dynamically while they process data in soft real-time.









MUSIC ANALYSIS, RETRIEVAL AND SYNTHESIS FOR AUDIO SIGNALS





### Marsyas Overview

- Marsyas Brief History
  - > 1998 ~2000
    - > Created by George Tzanetakis during his PhD
  - » 2000 **~**2002
    - » Marsyas 0.1
      - First stable revisions of the toolkit
      - > Distributions hosted at SourceForge
      - > Creation of a developer community
        - > User and Developer Mailing lists
  - > 2002 ~ ...
    - » Marsyas 0.2
      - > Major framework revision
      - SourceForge SubVersion



### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



# Related Work Context



- > CLAM (http://clam.iua.upf.edu/)
- STK (http://ccrma.stanford.edu/software/stk/)
- > Chuck (http://chuck.cs.princeton.edu/)
- PureData (Pd) (http://crca.ucsd.edu/~msp/software.html)
- ▷ Open Sound Control (OSC) ( <u>http://cnmat.berkeley.edu/OpenSoundControl/</u>)



04.17.2009 MAX SPES of tware Figure work for Audio yright 2011 G.Tzanetakis























### Statistics



#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis

CR/IL



#### marsyas.sness.net Map Overlay

### Oct 1, 2007 - Apr 16, 2009

Comparing to: Site





### Statistics

### 16,445 visits came from 112 countries/territories

Site Usage

Visits 16,445 % of Site Total: 100.00%	Pages/Visit 3.17 Site Avg: 3.17 (0.00%)	Avg. Ti 00:02: Site Avg: 00:02:	me on Site 53 53 (0.00%)	% New Visits 63.98% Site Avg: 63.92% (0.10%)	Bounce 38.74 Site Avg: 38.749	• Rate % % (0.00%)
Country/Territory		Visits	Pages/Visit	Avg. Time on Site	% New Visits	Bounce Rate
United States		3,265	2.99	00:02:18	78.87%	44.90%
Canada		1,467	3.30	00:02:55	49.76%	31.49%
Germany		1,123	3.55	00:03:17	63.05%	34.91%
France		1,007	3.10	00:02:33	49.85%	36.25%
China		919	3.11	00:04:17	51.36%	31.56%
United Kingdom		805	3.42	00:02:57	71.55%	37.76%
Taiwan		698	3.29	00:03:31	40.69%	39.11%
Portugal		649	3.05	00:03:26	43.14%	44.99%
Japan		451	3.00	00:02:57	61.42%	47.67%
Italy		442	3.89	00:03:10	72.40%	30.09%

#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



# Users & Applications

- > Musicream (Masataka Goto)
  - Music playback system with similarity capabilities
    - > Uses Marsyas as its music similarity engine





# Users & Applications





# Users and Applications



Joao Oliveira, Fabien Gouyon, and Luis Paulo Reis INESC Porto, Portugal



## Users and Applications



MusieMood Vladimir Kim Steven Bergner Torsten Moller

Simon Fraser Univ Vancouver, Canada



### Usage Scenarios

- Marsyas command line tools
  - Efficient
  - > Execute in real-time (when applied)
  - » No library dependencies
  - > Tools and examples:

- > sfplay
- bextract
- > phasevocoder
- > sfplugin









### » Some examples:

> bextract -e STFTMFCC music.mf speech.mf -p ms.mpl -w myweka.arff

> sfplugin -p ms-mpl unknownAudioSignal.wav





### Usage Scenarios



MarGrid2 MarPlayer MarPhasevocoder MarNetworkWidget

. . .


# MIREX 2008 results

Artist Identification	47.65	47.25	47.16	43.47	35.42	35.27	33.66	33.2	32.52	29.87	1.11		
	ME1	ME3	ME2	GT2	LRPPI1	GT3	GT1	LRPP12	LRPP14	LRPP13	GP2		
Composer Identification	53.25	53.1	52.89	48.99	45.82	43.81	39.54	39.47	39.43	37.48	34.13		
	ME1	ME2	ME3	GP1	GT2	GT3	LRPP14	GT1	LRPP12	LRPP13	LRPPI1		
Genre Classification	66.41	65.62	65.41	65.3	65.2	65.06	64.71	63.9	63.39	62.26	62.04	60.84	60.46
	GT2	GT3	ME1	ME2	ME3	LRPPI1	GT1	GP1	CL2	LRPP12	CL1	LRPP13	LRPP4
Latin Genre Classification	65.17	64.04	62.72	62.23	59.55	59	58.64	54.99	54.7	54.15	53.79	53.67	53.65
	CL1	CL 2	GP1	LRPP12	LRPP13	LRPPH	LRPP11	ME3	ME2	ME1	GT2	GT3	GT1
Music Mood Classification	63.67	58.2	56	55.5	55.5	55	54.5	52.5	50.33	50	49.83	49.67	30.33
	GP1	GT3	LRPP11	lrpp14	LRPP12	GT1	LRPPB	GT2	ME1	ME2	KL	ME3	HW

# MIREX 2008 Results

#### Genre 50000 Feature Training / 4500 Gipup Extraction Classification 40006 0:120:01 GT3 GT2 0.3535006 GT1 0:36 \_ CL1 1.290:33 (seconds) 3000 CL2 1:31 1:01 ME1 3:35 0:02 **P** 2500 ME2 3:350.02ş ME3 3:350:02 2000 GP1 11:37 0.25LRPPI1 28:50:00 0:02 15000 LRPP<sup>12</sup> 28:50:00 0.17LRPB 0:20 28:50:00 10006 LRPP4 0.3528:50:00 5000 GT3 GT2 GT1 CL1 CL 2 ME1 ME2 ME3 GP1 LRPPI1 LRPPI2 LRPPI3 LRPPI4 Feature Training / Classification Extraction

#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



- » Biggest challenge: expressivity without sacrificing efficiency
- Compile-time
  - > Definition of processing blocks
- Run-time
  - > Assembling network
  - Passing data through it
  - > Changing behavior through controls



> Marsyas 0.2

#### New dataflow model of audio computation



hierarchical messaging system used to control the dataflow network (inspired on Open Sound Control (OSC))

> general matrices instead of 1-D arrays as data

CR//L



#### MarSystem Slices







# EXPLICIT PATCHING: source, F1, F2, F3, destination; # Connect the in/out ports connect(source, F1); connect(source, F2); connect(source, F3); connect(F1, destination); connect(F2, destination); connect(F3, destination);

# IMPLICIT PATCHING

source, F1, F2, F3, destination; Fanout mix; mix.add([F1, F2, F3]); Series net; net.add(source,mix,destination);

#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis

CR/1L









#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis

# Architecture Feature Extraction using Implicit Patching

MarSystemManager mng;

MarSystem*	Series1		<pre>mng.create("Series",</pre>	"Series1");
MarSystem*	Fanout1	=	<pre>mng.create("Fanout",</pre>	"Fanout1");
MarSystem*	Series2	=	<pre>mng.create("Series",</pre>	"Series2");
MarSystem*	Fanout2	=	<pre>mng.create("Fanout",</pre>	"Fanout2");

Fanout3->addMarSystem(mng.create("Mean", "Mean"));

```
Fanout2->addMarSystem(mng.create("Centroid", "Centroid"));
Fanout2->addMarSystem(mng.create("RollOff", "Rolloff"));
Fanout2->addMarSystem(mng.create("Flux", "Flux");
```

Series2->addMarSystem(mng.create("Spectrum", "Spectrum");

Series2->addMarSystem(Fanout2);

Fanout1->addMarSystem(mng.create("ZeroCrossings", "ZeroCrossings");

Fanout1->addMarSystem(Series2);

Series1->addMarSystem(mng.create("SoundFileSource", "Source"));

Series1->addMarSystem(Fanout1);

Series1->addMarSystem(mng.create("Memory", "TextureMemory"));

Series1->addMarSystem(Fanout3);

Series1->addMarSystem(mng.create("classifier", "Classifier"));



#### Copyright 2011 G.Tzanetakis



# Interoperability

- » Python (Ruby, Java) bindings
- > Qt 4.x toolkit
- MATLAB
- > Weka
- > MIDI

📣 The MathWorks



### Qt4® is available as GPL open source code for all platforms

- > Open Sound Control (OSC)
- Max/MSP external
- > VAMP plugin







# Industrial – Collaborations

- Marsyas is licensed under the GNU Public
   License
  - > Anyone can download and modify the source code for free
  - Software that uses Marsyas source
     code must also be GPL
  - » Copyright remains with the author

CR//LA



# Modes of collaboration



### Consulting

- > Prototype and rewrite
- > Internal tool/batch processing
- » Based on proprietary data
- > Commercial Licensing



# How can you help?

- Encourage your students to use Marsyas
- Encourage your students to work on open source projects
- Donate money to open source projects even small amounts can make a huge difference
- Do not hesitate to get involved in the community of a project
- > Hire open source developers for particular tasks



# Summary



- It has been in development for 10 years and has steadily been growing
- Several academic and commercial projects have used
   Marsyas
- Open source development in academic environments is challenging but has its rewards
- > Any questions ?